

**EXHIBIT B**

309

1 661VVILH  
1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
2 -----x  
2  
3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
3  
4 v. 05 CR 621 (KMK)  
4  
5 ALBERTO VILAR,  
5 GARY TANAKA,  
6 Defendants.  
7 -----x  
8  
9 New York, N.Y.  
9 June 1, 2006  
9 11:45 a.m.  
10  
10 Before:  
11  
12 HON. KENNETH M. KARAS,  
12 District Judge  
13  
14 APPEARANCES  
15  
15 MICHAEL J. GARCIA  
16 United States Attorney for the  
16 Southern District of New York  
17 DEIRDRE McEVOY  
17 MARC LITT  
18 Assistant United States Attorneys  
18  
19 HOFFMAN & POLLOK  
19 Attorneys for Defendant Alberto Vilar  
20 SUSAN C. WOLFE  
20  
21 Attorneys for Defendant Gary Tanaka:  
21  
22 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI  
22 GLENN CHARLES COLTON  
23 -AND-  
23 KOBRE & KIM  
24 STEVEN GARY KOBRE  
25  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.  
(212) 805-0300

661VVILH

1 HOWARD SHAW,

2 called as a witness by the Government,

3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. KOBRE:

6 Q. Detective, good morning.

7 A. Good morning, sir.

8 Q. My name is Steven Kobre. I represent one of the defendants  
9 in this case, Mr. Tanaka and I'm going to ask you some  
10 questions for the next, say, probably a little over an hour,  
11 okay?

12 A. I understand.

13 Q. You are not an attorney, correct?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. You're not a solicitor, correct?

16 A. No, sir.

17 Q. And you're not a barrister, as well, correct?

18 A. Correct.

19 Q. And the descriptions of U.K. law that you provided on  
20 direct examination, that's a description based on your  
21 understanding of U.K. law, correct?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And you accept the fact that these are -- that your  
24 opinions are not legal opinions, they're just your opinions of  
25 what the law is, correct?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

661VVILH Shaw - cross

1 A. They're my opinions based on my experience and my training,  
2 correct.

3 Q. And prior to testifying -- withdrawn. There have been  
4 instances in your career where you have determined that  
5 evidence is applicable for, say, a Section 8 warrant, and  
6 lawyers to whom you've presented an application for a warrant  
7 have disagreed, is that right?

8 A. Yes, yes, I believe so.

9 Q. And in preparation for your testimony today, did you have  
10 an opportunity to meet with lawyers for the United States  
11 government?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. And when did you meet with lawyers for the government?

14 A. On Tuesday, two days ago, sir.

15 Q. And who did you meet with?

16 A. Mr. Litt, and I'm sure she'll forgive me, Deirdre, I don't  
17 know her surname.

18 Q. How long did you meet with them?

19 A. Several hours, sir, on Tuesday.

20 Q. When you say "several hours," can you give me a ballpark?

21 A. Yeah. I was -- I think I got to the office something like  
22 9:30 in the morning, and I left at about 4 p.m.

23 Q. And was one of the things that they did with you was go  
24 through the MLAT materials that had been provided?

25 A. That's a rather broad question.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

661VVILH

Shaw - cross

1 keep up. But also under a duty of an obligation to do my work  
2 properly. So I had to balance them.

3 Q. You say working at a pace. Do you mean they are working at  
4 a fast pace?

5 A. Well, the three of them and one of me, sir, reviewing what  
6 they're doing. So I'm, of necessity, working at a third of  
7 their speed. Although they would be looking through more  
8 material than me, because I'm only looking at the material they  
9 drew up. It's a difficult situation to describe, paint a  
10 picture of.

11 Q. Did there come a time -- withdrawn. You knew prior to the  
12 search that some of the information that -- withdrawn.

13 You knew prior to the search that it was likely that  
14 some of the information that you might come across was bank  
15 records, correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And you knew that some of the information you might come  
18 across were client account statements of Amerindo, correct?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. And you knew that Amerindo was an investment adviser,  
21 right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And one of the things investment advisors do is they have  
24 possession of private client information, correct?

25 A. Yes.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

661VVILH

Shaw - cross

1 Q. They have records that likely contain client's Social  
2 Security numbers, right?

3 A. But I wouldn't know that as a matter of course, but that  
4 was what was stated in the MLAT, yes.

5 Q. And that was your expectation in executing the search  
6 warrant, correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And it was also your expectation that the documents would  
9 contain clients' either bank account information or private  
10 financial information, correct?

11 A. They could be, yes.

12 Q. And you testified, I believe, on direct, that the Schedule  
13 1 -- withdrawn.

14 The reason why that information, in other words, the  
15 documents that I just asked you about, the client documents,  
16 was not special procedure material was because you weren't  
17 looking for that information, is that right?

18 A. No.

19 Q. Okay. Why was it, in your view, not special procedure  
20 material?

21 A. Special procedure material documents are created in the  
22 ordinary course of business by innocent third-party businesses,  
23 held by them with confidence, great expectation of  
24 confidentiality. That was not what we were looking for. We  
25 were looking for documents created to facilitate criminal

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

661VVILH

Shaw - cross

1 A. Whether there are any difficulties or issues I need to be  
2 made aware of.

3 Q. Okay. And I believe you testified on direct examination  
4 that when you asked him about difficulties, he would understand  
5 that you were referring to whether there was any special  
6 procedure material or privilege documents, is that right?

7 A. I would absolutely expect him to raise any issues about  
8 legality of what was going on.

9 Q. But is it your testimony as you sit here today that by the  
10 use of the word "difficulties," that he would understand that  
11 you were referring to special procedure material or privileged  
12 documents?

13 A. Amongst other things, yes.

14 Q. If special procedure material related to -- withdrawn. How  
15 would the finding special procedure material be a difficulty?

16 A. If they had found these crates contain lots of material,  
17 didn't have any relevance at all to Amerindo, belonged to  
18 another company, for example, they would raise that with me and  
19 discuss with me then about whether or not we would obtain a  
20 different type of warrant. None of those issues were raised.

21 Q. Did they raise the issue with you that they were finding  
22 records relating to a company called Amerindo-Cayman?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Are you familiar with the fact that there were records that  
25 were actually seized from the Cadogan Tate facility that

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

661VVLH Shaw - cross

1 related to a company called Amerindo-Cayman?

2 A. Was I aware. I don't think so, sir, no.

3 Q. And, in fact, the search warrant -- withdrawn. In fact,  
4 the MLAT materials didn't refer to a company called  
5 Amerindo-Cayman, isn't that right?

6 A. I don't know about the MLAT material. If one looks at the  
7 front page of the search warrant, the front page of Exhibit 24,  
8 sir, I've given a broad description of the material that I'm  
9 seeking. It begins, Documents relating to activities in  
10 Amerindo-U.K. and associated companies. Amerindo-Cayman was  
11 put forward within that category.

12 Q. By what standard did you determine what an associated  
13 company was?

14 A. Well, companies that are associated in the way that  
15 Amerindo U.S. and Amerindo-U.K. or Panama are associated to  
16 Amerindo-U.K., the same principals, the same business activity.

17 Q. So I'm just asking you by what standard were you able to  
18 conclude that an entity was an associated company?

19 A. Yes.

20 MR. LITT: Objection. There's no testimony that he  
21 ever did. I don't know if he's asking a hypothetical question  
22 or an actual question.

23 THE COURT: No. Indeed, the testimony is implicit in  
24 the answer that it was done whether by him or somebody else, or  
25 how it might have been justified, since it's conceded in the

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

661VVILH Shaw - cross

1 answer that it was done.

2 MR. KOBRE: I mean that's what he made the call on,  
3 what documents to take.

4 THE COURT: Or if somebody else did. But the point is  
5 that the question was asked assuming Amerindo-Cayman documents  
6 were taken, would that be done under the warrant, and the  
7 witness gave an answer.

8 MR. KOBRE: Right.

9 BY MR. KOBRE:

10 Q. And my question is, as you were searching the material,  
11 what standard did you use for determining whether a company was  
12 an associated company with principals?

13 A. In the way that I've described, whether if they were  
14 principals, the same names were involved, for example, or if  
15 there was some form of evidence, wrong word, "obvious link" is  
16 the phrase I'm looking for.

17 Q. Okay. So if the companies -- it was your view as you were  
18 reviewing the materials that if companies had "Amerindo" in the  
19 name, you felt it came within the rubric of the search warrant,  
20 is that right?

21 A. That seemed reasonable in the circumstances. We were  
22 searching Amerindo-U.K. offices.

23 Q. You recall having a conversation with the U.S. postal  
24 inspectors and asking them about what standards you used in  
25 determining an associated company with Amerindo?

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

661VVILH

Shaw - cross

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. That's because the government is not entitled to possession  
3 of it, correct?

4 A. Correct.

5 Q. And the government is also not entitled to see it, correct?

6 A. I'm sorry, that's not right. The government --

7 THE COURT: First, the question before is not right?

8 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor, I'm sorry.

9 A. Could you ask that question again, ma'am, please?

10 THE COURT: Two questions ago, right?

11 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

12 THE COURT: Okay. The government is not allowed to  
13 seize legally privileged materially, I think it was.

14 Q. And that's because the government's not entitled to possess  
15 it?

16 A. The government is not entitled to seek a warrant granting  
17 authority to seize legally privileged material. That doesn't  
18 mean that law enforcement officers can't seize material which  
19 later subsequently turns out to be legal privilege during the  
20 course of their search, as long as at the time of seizing it  
21 they held an honest belief that it was not legal privilege  
22 material.

23 Q. Okay. Now, let me ask you this: If you have an honest  
24 belief before you enter a premises that there will be legally  
25 privileged materials, that you're not searching for --

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

661VVILH Shaw - cross

1 obviously you're not searching for them because you cannot  
2 seize them, right?

3 A. Yes, ma'am.

4 Q. Then you have to get a Schedule 1 warrant, right?

5 A. No, ma'am.

6 Q. Tell me what's incorrect about that.

7 A. I'm not entitled to obtain any form of warrant to search  
8 for legal privilege material. If I believe that there's likely  
9 to be legal privilege material on-site, but is not what I am  
10 looking for, then I have to make an independent determination  
11 as to how I'm going to deal with that, whether I'm going to  
12 take legal counsel. But there's a clear distinction. I'm  
13 sorry, I'll leave it that way.

14 Q. Well, you make a determination whether to take legal  
15 counsel.

16 A. Yes. If I think that there's likely to be legal privilege  
17 material at the scene of my search, although I'm not looking  
18 for that, I will then have to make a determination as to  
19 whether I should take legal counsel with me. I don't have to,  
20 because there are provisions in law I can deal with it in other  
21 ways.

22 Q. Okay. Well, under what circumstances when you do  
23 anticipate that there will be legal materials would you not opt  
24 to take legal counsel?

25 MR. LITT: Objection. Relevance. The witness has

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300